UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ALBERT T. BEANE, JR., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

07-CV-09444 (RMB)

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON. BNY CONVERGEX EXECUTION SOLUTIONS LLC and CALLAN ASSOCIATES, INC.,

Defendants.

ORDER

WHEREAS, the Court previously entered a Stipulation and Order Governing the Production and Use of Confidential Information ("Order") (Dkt. # 20) on January 30, 2008;

WHEREAS, paragraph 8 of the Order provided that documents designated as "Confidential" under the Order were to be filed under seal pursuant to local rules and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and

WHEREAS, the sealed docket clerk instructs that the Order should be modified to allow filings in both the sealed and ECF docket.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that a party who submits any pleading or filing supported by Confidential Discovery Material on the Court's ECF system or regular docket may separately file the Confidential Discovery Material under seal on the sealed docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this day of May, 2008.

Kichard M. Ben

District Court Judge

The Court retains discretion whether to afford confidential treatment to any Confidential Boument submitted to the Court in connection with any motion, application, or proceeding that may result in an order and/or decision by the Court.



McTigue & Porter LLP 5301 Wisconsin Avenue, NW Suite 350 Washington, D.C. 20015 (202) 364-6900 Fax: (202) 364-9960

May 16, 2008

By Federal Express

Honorable Richard M. Berman United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

> Re: Beane v. The Bank of New York Mellon, et al., Civ. No. 07-09444

Dear Judge Berman:

I am writing on behalf of the parties in this matter to request that the Court enter the proposed order attached hereto, which amends the Stipulation and Order Governing the Production and Use of Confidential Information ("Order") (Dkt. # 20) entered by the Court on January 30, 2008.

The parties seek an amendment to the Order because the sealed docket clerk of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York will accept sealed materials only if those materials have been filed exclusively on the sealed docket. If, for example, a case has been designated an electronically filed case (ECF), as this case has been, the sealed docket clerk will not accept a motion accompanied by sealed exhibits if the moving party also filed that motion on the court's ECF system. Thus, the sealed docket clerk recently refused to accept plaintiffs' motion for class certification and accompanying sealed exhibits because plaintiff had filed the

Case 1:07-cv-09444-RMB-GWG Document 49 Filed 05/19/2008 Page 3 of 3

Honorable Richard M. Berman May 16, 2008 Page 2

motion on the ECF docket. The sealed docket clerk explained that he would accept such filings if the Order was modified.

Accordingly, the parties ask the Court to enter the attached order, which modifies paragraph 8 of the original Order to permit filings in both the ECF and sealed dockets.

In addition, plaintiff asks the Court to direct the sealed docket clerk to accept plaintiff's motion for class certification and supporting memorandum, declarations, and exhibits (Dkt. ## 45-47).

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory Y. Porter

Attorney for Plaintiff Albert T. Beane, Jr.

cc: Thomas A. Arena, Esq.
Dorothy Heyl, Esq.
Paul Blankenstein, Esq.
William J. Kilberg, Esq.